PONTE

Vol. 82 No. 2, 2026 Florence, Italy
ISSN: 0032-423X *E-ISSN:*0032-6356 International Journal of Sciences and Research

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20265046

CHALLENGES OF MULTILINGUALISM AND IDENTITY IN SOUTH AFRICAN EDUCATION: A POST-1994 PERSPECTIVE

Nokuzola Gqeba
University of Fort Hare
South Africa

ABSTRACT

Since the advent of democracy in 1994, South Africa has pursued an ambitious multilingual education agenda aimed at redressing historical inequalities and affirming linguistic and cultural diversity. Despite progressive policy frameworks recognising eleven official languages, the education system—particularly at the level of basic education—continues to be dominated by English. This paper critically examines the challenges of multilingualism and identity in South African basic education from a post-1994 perspective. Drawing on language policy analysis, sociolinguistic theory, and postcolonial critiques, the study explores tensions between policy and practice, the dominance of English, teacher preparedness, curriculum and assessment constraints, and the implications for learner identity and epistemic justice. The paper argues that meaningful multilingual education requires a shift from symbolic policy commitment to systemic, pedagogical, and ideological transformation.

INTRODUCTION

Language has long been central to educational access, identity formation, and power relations in South Africa. Under apartheid, language policy functioned as a tool of exclusion and control, privileging English and Afrikaans while marginalising African languages. The democratic transition of 1994 promised a radical reimagining of language and education, grounded in equity, human rights, and cultural recognition (Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996). Basic education was positioned as a critical site for nurturing multilingual competence and inclusive national identity.

However, nearly three decades later, the practical implementation of multilingual education remains fraught with challenges. Despite constitutional recognition of eleven official languages and the introduction of the Language in Education Policy (LiEP) of 1997, English continues to dominate as the primary language of learning and teaching (LoLT). This dominance has significant implications for learner achievement, identity affirmation, and social justice. This paper examines these challenges within South African basic education, arguing that unresolved tensions between multilingualism and identity continue to reproduce inequality in post-apartheid schooling.

Post-1994 Language Policy Frameworks

South Africa's post-apartheid language policies are widely regarded as progressive and inclusive. The Constitution (1996) commits the state to promoting and developing all official languages, while the LiEP (Department of Education, 1997) endorses additive bilingualism

and learner choice. These policies were intended to elevate African languages to academic parity with English and Afrikaans, while respecting linguistic diversity. In practice, however, implementation has been uneven. Schools often interpret 'choice' in ways that favour English, reflecting parental aspirations, institutional capacity constraints, and broader socio-economic pressures (Heugh, 2015). As a result, multilingualism remains largely aspirational, with limited structural support for African language development in basic education.

The Hegemony of English in Basic Education

English occupies a hegemonic position within South African schooling, functioning as the dominant language of instruction, assessment, and academic success. This dominance is closely linked to globalisation, labour market demands, and historical privilege (Alexander, 2009). For many parents and learners, English represents social mobility and economic opportunity. However, the early transition to English as LoLT often undermines conceptual understanding and academic performance, particularly for learners whose home language is an African language. Research consistently shows that extended mother-tongue instruction improves literacy development and cognitive outcomes (Cummins, 2000; Heugh, 2011). The continued privileging of English thus reproduces educational inequality rather than alleviating it.

Multilingualism and Learner Identity

Language plays a fundamental role in shaping identity, belonging, and self-worth. In many South African schools, learners experience pressure to abandon their home languages in favour of English, which is associated with intelligence, modernity, and success. These dynamic produces identity tensions, particularly for learners from rural and township realities. The marginalization of African languages within formal schooling contributes to linguistic insecurity and cultural alienation. Learners may internalise deficit views of their linguistic heritage, leading to diminished confidence and disengagement (Makoni & Pennycook, 2007). An education system that fails to affirm learners' linguistic identities risks undermining both academic achievement and democratic citizenship.

Teacher Capacity and Pedagogical Challenges

Teachers play a pivotal role in the implementation of multilingual education, yet many are inadequately prepared to teach in linguistically diverse classrooms. Initial teacher education programmes often prioritise English proficiency without equipping teachers with strategies for additive bilingualism or translanguaging. In practice, teachers frequently rely on informal code-switching to support comprehension. While pedagogically valuable, this practice is rarely formalised or supported by policy and resources. The absence of structured multilingual pedagogy places additional strain on teachers and limits the academic use of African languages.

Curriculum, Assessment, and Epistemic Justice

Curriculum and assessment practices further entrench linguistic inequality. National assessments are predominantly conducted in English, disadvantaging learners who have

received instruction in their home languages during early schooling. This transition often results in declining performance unrelated to actual cognitive ability. Moreover, the curriculum frequently reflects Eurocentric knowledge systems, marginalising indigenous epistemologies embedded in African languages (Mignolo, 2011). Addressing multilingualism in basic education, therefore, requires a commitment to epistemic justice, recognising African languages as legitimate carriers of knowledge.

Implications for Social Cohesion and Equity

Multilingual education was envisioned as a foundation for social cohesion in post-apartheid South Africa. However, persistent linguistic hierarchies undermine this goal. Schools often reproduce social divisions by privileging English-speaking norms and identities.
A genuinely multilingual education system would promote mutual respect, intercultural dialogue, and inclusive citizenship. This requires sustained investment in language development, teacher training, and curriculum transformation.

CONCLUSION

The challenges of multilingualism and identity in South African basic education reflect broader struggles over power, inequality, and cultural recognition in the post-1994 era. Despite progressive policy frameworks, the dominance of English, limited teacher preparedness, and curriculum constraints continue to marginalise African languages and identities.

Transforming multilingual education requires moving beyond symbolic recognition toward systemic change. By valuing learners' linguistic resources and affirming their identities, South African education can move closer to the democratic ideals envisioned at the dawn of democracy.

REFERENCES

Alexander, N. (2009). Language policy and planning in South Africa. SUN Press.
Constitution of the Republic of South Africa. (1996). Government Printer.
Cummins, J. (2000). Language, power and pedagogy. Multilingual Matters.
Department of Education. (1997). Language in Education Policy. Government Printer.
Heugh, K. (2011). Theory and practice—Language education models in Africa. In A. Ouane & C. Glanz (Eds.), Optimising learning through languages of instruction (pp. 105–121). UNESCO.
Heugh, K. (2015). Epistemologies in multilingual education. Language and Education, 29(3), 187–201.
Makoni, S., & Pennycook, A. (2007). Disinventing and reconstituting languages. Multilingual Matters.
Mignolo, W. (2011). The darker side of Western modernity. Duke University Press.